

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,113	03/25/2004	Peter Arthur Schade	2797P	8045	
29141 SAWYFR LA	7590 09/24/2007 W GROUP LLP		EXAMINER		
P O BOX 51418			SORRELL, ERON J		
PALO ALTO,	CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2182		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		09/24/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@sawyerlawgroup.com nikia@sawyerlawgroup.com

			A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-9-
Office Action Summers	10/810,113	SCHADE, PETER ARTHUR	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eron J. Sorrell	2182	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC . cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Seconds</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Executive Seconds. 	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-14 is/are pending in the application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 of the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 of the oath oath of the oath o	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/5/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1 and 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

Art Unit: 2182

claimed invention. The amendment to independent claims 1,7, and 12 comprise limitations that do not appear to be supported by the original specification. The limitation of the USB peripheral port providing a connection to a second network can be found in all the amended independent claims, however there is no such second network disclosed in the specification (emphasis added). At page 3, lines 1-10 only one network is disclosed. Lines 1-2 on page 6 also only recites creating "a network." No other references to a network can be found. The Examiner requests clarification.

Page 3

- 4. Dependent claims 3-6,8-11,13, and 14 are rejected under $112-1^{\rm st}$ paragraph based on their dependency to the independent claims.
- 5. In light of the 112-1st paragraph rejection, for the purpose of compact prosecution, the Examiner will interpret the claims as best understood. The Examiner will interpret the claims as requiring the USB interface to comprise a port for coupling to a second network.

Art Unit: 2182

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shu (U.S. Patent No. 6,058,441) in view of Knight et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0167345 hereinafter "Knight").
- 8. Referring to claim 1, Shu teaches a single USB interface (item 100 in figure 1) comprising:
 - a USB host port (item 11 in figure 1); and
- a USB peripheral port (item 12 in figure 1 and) wherein the USB peripheral port and the USB root hub host port are both active at the same time (see lines 23-27 of column 5, note the upstream and downstream devices are allowed to communicate with each other, note the device is connected to a single computer), wherein the USB host port and the USB peripheral port are

defined using predetermined signals (see lines 27-47 of column 3).

Shu fails to teach the host port couples to a fist network via a first bus the peripheral port couples to a second network via a second bus (see paragraph 149, wherein the USB adapter is described as coupling two networks).

Knight teaches, a single USB interface comprising host port couples to a fist network via a first bus the peripheral port couples to a second network via a second bus ().

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the device of Shu with the above teachings of Knight in order to carry out communication between a vehicle control network and a remote computer system as suggested by Knight (see paragraph 14).

9. Referring to claims 3 and 4, Shu teaches the devices can be connected in a peer-to-peer connection, or a one-to-many via the host and/or peripheral ports (see lines 44-48 of column 2, note a single device can be connected to the host, or a chain of devices can be connected).

10. Referring to claim 5, Shu teaches a device only needs one physical port to communicate via the single USB interface (see items labeled "FUNCTION" in figure 6, each peripheral has one port for which to connect with the interface).

- 11. Referring to claim 6, Shu teaches the predetermined signals comprise host differential data lines and peripheral differential data lines (see lines 27-47 of column 3).
- 12. Referring to claim 7, Shu teaches a USB network comprising:
 a first device, (item 100 in figure 1); the first device
 includes a single USB interface the first interface including a
 USB root hub host port (item 11 in figure 1); and a USB
 peripheral port (item 12 in figure 1), wherein the USB host port
 and the USB peripheral port are defined using predetermined
 signals (see lines 27-47 of column 3); and

a second device for communicating with the first device (see items labeled "FUNCTION" in figure 6, each peripheral has one port for which to connect with the interface), using the predetermined signals wherein the USB peripheral port and the USB root hub host port are both active at the same (see lines 23-27 of column 5, note the upstream and downstream devices are

allowed to communicate with each other, note the device is connected to a single computer).

Shu fails to teach the host port couples to a fist network via a first bus the peripheral port couples to a second network via a second bus.

Knight teaches, a single USB interface comprising host port couples to a fist network via a first bus the peripheral port couples to a second network via a second bus (see paragraph 149, wherein the USB adapter is described as coupling two networks).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the device of Shu with the above teachings of Knight in order to carry out communication between a vehicle control network and a remote computer system as suggested by Knight (see paragraph 14).

- 13. Referring to claims 8 and 9, Shu teaches the predetermined signals are within the USB standard (see lines 27-47 of column 3).
- 14. Referring to claim 10, Shu teaches the first and second devices can be any of a camera, computer, PDA, laptop device,

handheld device, printer, and cellular telephone (see lines 1-7 of column 4).

- 15. Referring to claim 11, Shu teaches the predetermined signals comprise host differential data lines and peripheral differential data lines (see lines 27-47 of column 3).
- 16. Referring to claim 12, Shu teaches a device comprising: a processor (see item 20 in figure 1 and lines 48-52 of

column 3) and a single computer USB interface (item 100 in figure 1) comprising a USB host port (item 11 in figure 1); and a USB peripheral port (item 12 in figure 1) wherein the USB peripheral port and the USB root hub host port are both active at the same time and coupled to the same host (see lines 23-27 of column 5, note the upstream and downstream devices are allowed to communicate with each other, note the device is connected to a single computer), wherein the USB root hub host port and the USB peripheral port are defined using predetermined signals (see lines 27-47 of column 3).

Shu fails to teach the host port couples to a fist network via a first bus the peripheral port couples to a second network via a second bus.

Art Unit: 2182

Page 9

Knight teaches, a single USB interface comprising host port couples to a fist network via a first bus the peripheral port couples to a second network via a second bus (see paragraph 149, wherein the USB adapter is described as coupling two networks).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the device of Shu with the above teachings of Knight in order to carry out communication between a vehicle control network and a remote computer system as suggested by Knight (see paragraph 14).

- 17. Referring to claim 13, Shu teaches the single computer USB interface requires a connection to only one physical I/O port if the device is coupled to a device with a connector that includes a USB host port and a USB peripheral port which are defined using the predetermined signals (see items labeled "FUNCTION" in figure 6, each peripheral has one port for which to connect with the interface).
- 18. Referring to claim 14, Shu teaches the predetermined signals comprise host differential data lines and peripheral differential data lines (see lines 27-47 of column 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,113 Page 10

Art Unit: 2182

Response to Arguments

19. Applicant's arguments filed 9/5/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant presents a description of the invention in an attempt to distinguish the claims from the cited prior art. The description, however presents limitations that are not positively recited in the claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

- 20. Examples of some of the limitations in the description that are not claimed are noted below:
 - 1) The single USB interface is utilized in a network where at least one dual port USB (DPUSB) connector is connected to either standard USB connectors or other DPUSB connectors (see first full paragraph of page 7);
 - 2) The computer being part of two networks, network 1 which has the computer as a host, and network 2 which has the computer as a device (see second full paragraph of page 7);
- 21. The applicant also argues that Shu fails to teach the device can act as a USB host and that the USB host port and USB

peripheral port are both active at the same time (see lines 2-5 of page 8).

The Examiner disagrees. The claims do not require the device to be able to act as a USB host. The claimed device is merely an interface. Shu does teach USB host port and peripheral port are both active at the same time and coupled to the same processing system (see lines 23-27 of column 5, note the upstream and downstream devices are allowed to communicate with each other, note the device is connected to a single computer). Shu also teaches the host and peripheral are ports are defined using predetermined signals (see lines 27-47 of column 3).

22. The applicant also argues, Shu does not mention multiple USB busses, peer-to-peer, peer-to-peer networks, or networks (see lines 9-23 of page 8).

The Examiner disagrees. Shu teaches the devices can be connected in a peer-to-peer connection, or a one-to-many via the host and/or peripheral ports (see lines 44-48 of column 2, note a single device (peer-to-peer between the one device and the host) can be connected to the host, or a chain of devices can be connected (one-to-many the host and many devices, or a network of devices).

23. Any arguments pertaining to a second network are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eron J. Sorrell whose telephone number is 571 272-4160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on 571-272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,113 Page 13

Art Unit: 2182

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EJS September 12, 2007

In Soul 9/12/07